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Abstract

The future of the power system is definitely depending on the microgrid (MG) system which includes the Distribution Generators utilizing the Renewable energy Resources (RERs) and Storage. In this paper, an H∞ VF control strategy is presented for a parallel islanded AC microgrid system. The control strategy restored desired results accurately by removing errors of voltage and frequency (V&F) at a nominal value without using the secondary controller in addition to improving the active power and reactive power accuracy by using the proposed robust droop control. The proposed control scheme has been tested through MATLAB/Simulink under different load conditions. The comparison proves the effectiveness of the proposed controller which improves the desire results in frequency and voltage perspective. The proposed controller is reliable and stable than a conventional and secondary controller.

Disciplinary: Electrical Engineering and Technology.
1 Introduction

Distributed Generation (DG) units are combined to develop a microgrid (MG) system which is the future of the modern power system [1] MG system is addressing the issues of the traditional power system successfully. The issues like reliability, stability, transmission losses, cost, environmental, and power quality are the reasons behind the development of the MG system [2]. MG system still has a lot of issues that need to be resolve for practical implementation especially in terms of control. Reactive power flow, voltage, and frequency stability in the operating conditions that are on-grid and off-grid must be in an acceptable range to ensure a smooth MG operation [3]. Moreover, a proper scheme that can handle V&F errors of the microgrid system for establishing an autonomous MG control is essential.

For the off-grid (islanded) mode of operation, there are several methods to control the MG system for example the Master-slave control [4], the multi-agent control system [5], and the multi-agent system is communication-based control that needs a communication link for control. On the other hand droop control is a communication less control technique [6]. In the islanded mode of operation, the droop control technique facilitates the decentralization operation of MGs and voltage and frequency. Active power frequency (P/f) and reactive power voltage (Q/v) droop control mechanisms are simple to implement and retains the voltage, frequency in a specific required range, especially in islanded MGs [7]. The Droop control with a virtual impedance loop can be implemented to handle frequency, voltage, and power-sharing issues [8]. In the literature, many researchers used modified droop control to get their required control. The droop coefficients are adjusted in such a way that modifies the conventional droop control and used for error elimination of voltage and frequency [9]

H-infinity (H∞) control mechanism is implemented with the droop control to mitigate the voltage and frequency errors. H∞ control is considered to be a multi-objective solver to handle the various control tasks [10]. In [11], H∞ is implemented with droop control. The voltage and frequency errors under various load conditions were tested and ratified by using the H∞ control. Moreover, the proposed controller scheme tested for unbalanced and nonlinear loads too [12]. H∞ controller by utilizing linear matrix inequalities techniques for islanded voltage source inverter-based MG implemented in [13]. The technique is applied to the primary level and primary frequency is controlled as well as the system stability is tested using the μ synthesis. Particle swarm optimization (PSO) technique for the solution of frequency deviations presented in [14] and power-sharing accuracy in [15, 16]. Implementing the PSO technique along with the H∞ control ensures the power-sharing accuracy with the system stability. Nevertheless, the control technique shows good simulation results against voltage and current errors and reduced THD.

In [12–17], mostly the H∞ controller is used to handle the only one parameter that may be the frequency, voltage, current or power-sharing accuracy. This paper presents a robust and simple approach for an inverter-based islanded MG system. The control is based on the H∞ control technique. Droop controller scheme utilized with H∞ control that significantly enhanced VF results
as compared to conventional ones. Moreover, the proposed control technique can handle the power quality by implementing an \( H^\infty \) controller to the LCL filter and also at current or voltage loops. These are the two ways where the \( H^\infty \) controller is used to enhance power quality issues in Islanded MG system. The proposed control is repetitive as well as adaptive. Voltage and current tracking are done through the internal model principle and load changing conditions are responded to accordingly to mitigate the voltage, frequency, and power-sharing accuracy errors. The proposed control scheme is tested to multi DG based MG system with different balanced and unbalanced loads. Conventional droop control is modified and implemented to get the improved power quality. Moreover, the stability of the MG system is tested by root locus and step response. The Controller has the ability to regain its initial position after fault clearance.

2 Proposed Control \( H^\infty \) Based Method

In the microgrid control system besides other control issues the droop control mechanism facing some core concerns related to abrupt load change response because of these deficiencies the droop control cannot be helpful to mitigate the frequency and voltage errors at the load varying.

The proposed control scheme is designed to get rid of the issues caused by droop control. Moreover, the control scheme is capable to get its steady-state conditions. Nevertheless, the proposed control scheme is also capable to mitigate mismatching among generation and load in off-grid operation and voltage frequency errors are successfully removed.

The \( H^\infty \) controller is a very useful controller, especially in MG control techniques. The proposed controller guarantees the stability of the MG system and can handle uncertain situations in load. Voltage and frequency errors are removed by the proposed \( H^\infty \) controller by utilizing the linear feedback configuration.

In the given feedback configuration \( u, w, y, \) and \( z \) represent the control input, error, output measurement, and controlled output respectively. The \( H^\infty \) controller is implemented for determining the closed-loop transfer function of \( w \) and \( z \). For the design of the \( H^\infty \) controller, disturbances are handled in such a way that the input \( y \) and output \( u \) are designed for the controller \( C \).

In Figure 1, \( \varepsilon \) & \( \mu \) w delay time \( e^{\omega T_d} \) are given to assurance of the conditions to keep it stable. These parameters are the weighting parameters. The delay time \( T_d \) with \( \omega c \) cut-off frequency of LPF, is calculated as

\[
W(s) = \frac{\omega}{S + \omega}
\]

\[
T_d = T - \frac{1}{\omega_c}
\]
The $H\infty$ controller’s voltage and current loops [18] having $\varepsilon$ and weighted parameters, the closed-loop system is given as:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
Z' \\
Y'
\end{bmatrix} = P\begin{bmatrix} W' \\ u' \end{bmatrix}, u = CY' \\
W = \begin{bmatrix} A_s & B_u \\
C_s & D_u \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\omega_i & \omega_i \\
1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\]

(3),

where $P$ is extended plant. From [14]

\[
y' = e + \varepsilon v \\
= \varepsilon v + \begin{bmatrix} A_{\text{inverter}} & B_{\text{inverter1}} & B_{\text{inverter2}} \\
C_{\text{inverter}} & D_{\text{inverter1}} & D_{\text{inverter2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w \\
u \end{bmatrix} \\
= \begin{bmatrix} A_{\text{inverter}} & 0 & B_{\text{inverter1}} & B_{\text{inverter2}} \\
C_{\text{inverter}} & \varepsilon & D_{\text{inverter1}} & D_{\text{inverter2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v \\
w \\
w \\
u \end{bmatrix}
\]

(4),

\[
Z_1 = W(e + \varepsilon v) \\
= \begin{bmatrix} A_{\text{inverter}} & 0 & 0 & B_{\text{inverter1}} & B_{\text{inverter2}} \\
B_sC_{\text{inverter}} & A_s & B_s & B_sD_{\text{inverter1}} & B_sD_{\text{inverter2}} \\
0 & C_s & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v \\
w \\
w \\
u \end{bmatrix}
\]

(5),

\[
Z_2 = \mu u
\]

(6).

Combining the above equations, we get

---

**Figure 1**: Formulation of the proposed controller
The small-signal model can be computed as

\[
P = \begin{bmatrix} A_{\text{inverter}} & 0 & 0 & B_{\text{inverter1}} \\ B_{\text{inverter}} & C_{\text{inverter}} & A_{\text{inverter}} & B_{\text{inverter1}} \\ C_{\text{inverter}} & 0 & 0 & D_{\text{inverter1}} \\ \end{bmatrix}
\]

(7).

The small-signal model can be computed as

\[
C = \begin{bmatrix} A_c & B_{c1} & B_{c2} \\ B_{c1} & C_c & D_{c1} \\ B_{c2} & D_{c2} \\ \end{bmatrix}
\]

(8),

\[
[x_i] = A \begin{bmatrix} x_i \\ \end{bmatrix} + B_{c1} \begin{bmatrix} v_{\text{ref}} \\ \end{bmatrix} + B_{c2} \begin{bmatrix} i_{\text{dq}} \\ v_{\text{dq}} \\ i_{\text{dq}} \\ \end{bmatrix}^T
\]

(9),

\[
[y_i] = C \begin{bmatrix} x_i \\ \end{bmatrix} + D_{c1} \begin{bmatrix} v_{\text{ref}} \\ \end{bmatrix} + D_{c2} \begin{bmatrix} i_{\text{dq}} \\ v_{\text{dq}} \\ i_{\text{dq}} \\ \end{bmatrix}^T
\]

(10),

where

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_{r} & -m_{p} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\omega & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\omega & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -n_{q} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -K_{n}n_{q} & K_{n} & 0 & 0 & K_{p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & K_{n} & 0 & K_{p} & 0 & 0 \\ \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
x_i = \begin{bmatrix} \delta & P & Q & \phi_{\omega} & \gamma_{\omega} \\ \end{bmatrix}^T
\]

\[
[y_i] = \begin{bmatrix} \omega & v_{\text{dq}} & i_{\text{dq}} & v_{\text{dq}} & i_{\text{dq}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & K_{p} & 0 & K_{p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \end{bmatrix}^T
\]

\[
B_{c2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2\omega_{i_{\text{ad}}} & 1/2\omega_{i_{\text{ad}}} & 1/2\omega_{i_{\text{ad}}} & 1/2\omega_{i_{\text{ad}}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -K_{pr} & -\omega_{\alpha} & K_{pr} & 0 & 0 & F & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -\omega & -K_{pr} & 0 & 0 & F & 0 \\ \end{bmatrix}
\]
$$c_v = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_{ref} & -m_t & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -n_t & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -n_c K_{pe} & K_{se} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & o & o & 0 & K_{se} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & o & o & K_{pe} K_{se} & 0 & K_{se} & 0 \\ 0 & o & o & 0 & K_{pe} K_{se} & 0 & K_{se} \end{bmatrix}. $$

The calculation of the TF from a to b is given below provided

$$D_{cb} = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_{ref} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -K_{pe} & -\omega_c C_r & F & o & 0 \\ o & o & -\omega L_x & -K_{pe} & o & F & 0 \\ -K_{pe} & -\omega L_x & -K_{se} K_{pe} & -K_r \omega C_r & K_r F & 0 & o \\ -\omega L_x & -K_{pe} & -K_{se} \omega C_r & -K_r K_{se} & 0 & K_r F & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

that $\omega = 0$.

$$T_{su} = \left(1 - \begin{bmatrix} A_{s}\omega & B_{s}\omega_{ref} \\ C_{s}\omega & D_{s}\omega_{ref} \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} W$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} A_{s}\omega + B_{s}\omega_{ref} D_{s} & B_{s}\omega_{ref} C_c & B_{s}\omega_{ref} D_{s} & C_{s} \\ B C_{s} & A & B C_c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A & B_c \\ C_{s} & 0 & C_c & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

(11).

When controller $C$ is obtained, the next step is to verify the controller’s stability that can be validated by inspection $\|F_c\|$.  

3 Result and Discussion

The proposed control scheme is tested under the MATLAB/Simulink as per the control strategy shown in Figure 2, and system load parameters are given in Table 1 model with two parallel DG-based microgrids is built using MATLAB/Simulink. Sudden load switching time and load ratings are given in Table. During the switching of loads, the time domain response of power-sharing, the total power of microgrid, voltage and frequency are analysed for effectiveness confirmation. It is proved from simulation testing that robust $H_\infty$ decentralized power-sharing controller over the secondary hierarchical controller and the conventional decentralized primary power-sharing controller.

**Table 1: Switching time of sudden load and power ratings of low to medium to high to medium loads.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parallel inverters</th>
<th>Loads</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Switch On-OFF time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DG1 &amp; DG2</td>
<td>P_L_1</td>
<td>500 W</td>
<td>0–1 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P_L_2</td>
<td>1000 W</td>
<td>1–2 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P_L_3</td>
<td>1600 W</td>
<td>2–3 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P_L_4</td>
<td>700 W</td>
<td>3–4 s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2: A simulation model for the proposed controller

The current and voltage analysis at PCC of parallel DGs in islanded mode when the DGs are connected with low, medium, high, and then medium loads at 0-1 s, 1-2 s, 2-3 s, and 3-4 s, respectively, Figure 3, 4 and 5 illustrate the voltage response.
Figure 3: $H_\infty$-based DGs’ voltage response at PCC (a), zoomed response from LM load change (b), zoomed response from MH load change (c), and zoomed response from HM load change (d).

Figure 4: Secondary-based DGs’ voltage response at PCC (a), zoomed response from LM load change (b), zoomed response from MH load change (c), and zoomed response from HM load change (d).

Figure 5: Conventional-based DGs’ voltage response at PCC (a), zoomed response from LM load change (b), zoomed response from MH load change (c), and zoomed response from HM load change (d).

Figure 6: $H_\infty$-based DGs current response at PCC (a), zoomed response from LM load change (b), zoomed response from MH load change (c), and zoomed response from HM load change (d).

Figure 7: Secondary-based DGs’ current response at PCC (a), zoomed response from LM load change (b), zoomed response from MH load change (c), and zoomed response from HM load change (d).

Figure 8: Conventional-based DGs current response at PCC (a), zoomed response from LM load change (b), zoomed response from MH load change (c), and zoomed response from HM load change (d).
Figures 6, 7, and 8 showing the current response according to the $H_\infty$-based DGs, the secondary-based DGs, and the conventional-based DGs at the point of common coupling during different load changes. The voltage response is smooth in all cases with just a slight spike in the conventional controller during load variations. It clearly shows that smooth transient current is flowing when the load is suddenly changed and that the parallel islanded system behavior is stable.

Figure 9 shows the active sharing power and Figure 10 for total microgrid power with two identical DGs when the loads are connected from low to medium to high to medium. The total simulation time is 4 s, and the loads are suddenly changed from low to medium at 1–2 s, from medium to high at 2–3 s, and from high to medium at 3–4 s.

![Figure 9](image1.png)

**Figure. 9:** Active sharing power time domain response for low-medium-high-medium load change ($H = H_\infty$, $S$ = secondary, $C$ = conventional primary).

![Figure 10](image2.png)

**Figure. 10:** Active power time domain response for low-medium-high-medium load change ($H = H_\infty$, $S$ = secondary, $C$ = conventional control).

Figures 11 and 12 illustrate the signal analysis, based on the transient behavior of frequency and voltage of AC microgrid at PCC, respectively. The step response of conventional, existing secondary, and proposed robust $H_\infty$ controller is illustrated in Figure 11 (a). Figure 11 (b) indicates that the existing secondary controller has a slower rise time of 0.3 s than the proposed robust $H_\infty$
controller rise time is 0.053 s during the first load condition. As suddenly load is changed during 1-2 s, the rise time is 1.001 s and high peak in the conventional system. the frequency deviates more and is not retained back to its nominal value. Whereas the existing secondary controller has a slower rise time of 1.2 s because of the processing delay with a high peak and restored the frequency to the nominal value. the response under the proposed robust controller is illustrated in a fast manner with 1.02 s rise time and good tracking performance with 4% overshoot. when the load is suddenly changed from low to medium as in Figure 11 (c) or from medium to high as in Figure 11 (d), as well as from high to medium as in Figure 11 (e), in all conditions the frequency slightly deviates but it is again retained back to nominal frequency quickly. Whereas, in the conventional system, the frequency deviates more and is not retained back to its nominal value during load changes. Thus, the proposed robust distributed $\text{H}_\infty$ active power and frequency controller works properly and maintains the nominal frequency level without applying any communication link or secondary hierarchical controller.

**Figure. 11:** Frequency time domain response for low-medium-high-medium load change (a), TR at PCC (b), TR of LM load change (c), TR of MH load change (d), and TR of HM load change (e) ($H = \text{H}_\infty$, $S = \text{secondary}$, $C = \text{conventional}$).

**Figure. 12:** Voltage time-domain response for LMHM load change (a), the transient response at PCC (b), TR of LM load change (c), TR of MH load change (d), and TR of HM load change (e) ($H = \text{H}_\infty$, $S = \text{secondary}$, $C = \text{conventional}$).
Moreover, Figure 12 (a) depicts the transient behaviour of voltage at PCC. The proposed $H_\infty$ reactive power and voltage controller loop show its effectiveness. Figure 12 (b) indicates that the transient VPCC response is slower in the secondary controller because of process delay, whereas the proposed robust $H_\infty$ controller has a faster transient response. The system voltage is at the nominal value at 0-1 s during the first low load. The load is changed from low to medium level as in Figure 12 (c), and the voltage slightly deviates and is restored to its nominal value at 1-2 s.

The same system is tested with medium-to-high load change and vice versa during 2-3 s as in Figure 12 (d) and 3-4 s as in Figure 12 (e), respectively, and the microgrid voltage slightly deviates but again back to the nominal voltage level by using the secondary hierarchical communication-based control and the proposed robust distributed $H_\infty$ control technique. Meanwhile, the system voltage deviates more after the load is changed in the conventional power-sharing technique. Thus, the proposed robust $H_\infty$ reactive power and voltage controller improves the accuracy of the desired voltage without applying any communication link or secondary hierarchical control loop. It increased the efficiency of the controller response by 95% for reference value tracking, good tracking response by reducing the 4% of overshoot.

4 Conclusion

In this paper, the robust $H_\infty$ VF controller is used to resolve the power-sharing issues without compromising the power quality of the system. Improve the power-sharing of active and reactive power in parallel DGs. the proposed control scheme is tested with different load conditions by using the MATLAB Simulink environment proposed control scheme is applied to the microgrid with two parallel-connected inverters having different loads. The proposed controller is adjusted the system VF as well as improving the system stability and sharing of active and reactive power quality. Moreover, the proposed system is more effective than the conventional and existing secondary controller by designing the proper weighted function and $H_\infty$ controller parameters.

5 Availability of Data And Material

Data can be made available by contacting the corresponding authors.
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