©2022 International Transaction Journal of Engineering, Management, & Applied Sciences & Technologies

ISSN 2228-9860 eISSN 1906-9642 CODEN: ITJEA8



International Transaction Journal of Engineering, Management, & Applied Sciences & Technologies

http://TuEngr.com



An Experimental Based Approach Using Artificial Intelligence Algorithm for Determining the Surface Roughness by Milling Process

Afrim Gjelaj¹, Besart Berisha^{1*}, Wojciech Sitek²

¹Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Prishtina, Prishtina, KOSOVA.
 ²Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Silesian University of Technology, Gliwice, POLAND.
 *Corresponding Author (Tel: +383 49 135 073, Email: besart.berisha@uni-pr.edu).

Paper ID: 13A5B

Volume 13 Issue 5

Received 14 January 2022 Received in revised form 19 March 2022 Accepted 29 March 2022 Available online 16 April 2022

Keywords: Milling; Surface

roughness model; Testing of material; Optimization; Artificial intelligence.

Abstract

Surface roughness plays an important role in the machining area. This work aims to investigate surface roughness with the use of main machining parameters. Steel C35 is used as workpiece material while performing experimental work with twenty-one experiments, and coolant is taken as constant. The experimental model considers two ways to analyze the surface roughness using Artificial Intelligence. The first is the measurement of surface roughness after machining, and the second is to compare the measurement in a theoretical way.

Disciplinary: Mechanical Engineering.

©2022 INT TRANS J ENG MANAG SCI TECH.

Cite This Article:

Gjelaj, A., Berisha, B., Sitek, W. (2022). An Experimental Based Approach Using Artificial Intelligence Algorithm for Determining the Surface Roughness by Milling Process. *International Transaction Journal of Engineering, Management, & Applied Sciences & Technologies, 13*(5), 13A5B, 1-11. http://TUENGR.COM/V13/13A5B.pdf DOI: 10.14456/ITJEMAST.2022.86

1 Introduction

The purpose of the experiment is to verify the coefficients and exponents based on the Taylor expression, for the surface roughness during the milling process in universal milling machines. In this way, it is possible to determine the optimal main machining parameters for conducting the experiment. The workpiece material for the case of our research will be investigated for steel C35.

The most influential factors in the research of surface roughness for prismatic workpieces are: v - cutting speed, f - working step and a - cutting depth, as influencing factors of the process. Other factors such as the refrigerant, the geometry of the metal cutting tool, and the rigidity of the

processing system, and so these parameters in this paper are kept at a constant level because they are not the subject of their research [1-4].

Two models are developed for surface roughness depending on main machining parameters where one of the models is based on the regression model while the other model was developed with the help of artificial intelligence such as Neural Network and error of validations for this case are obtained with values 4.01% [5]. The authors present the predictive models for surface roughness Ra during the ball-end milling process which were developed using RSM, GA, and GWO algorithms. After that the validity of the model was confirmed using ANOVA methods, so the model accuracy for surface roughness was around 10% due to the setup of the experiments [6].

The authors have developed the predictive models for surface roughness both for metal and aluminum using high-speed milling operation [7], also other research has used artificial intelligence to predict the surface roughness into deep drilling for some steel components [8]. Effect of Various Microstructures Obtained from Heat Treatment on Machinability Behavior of Ti-6Al-4V Alloy, by drilling process [9]. This study investigates the surface roughness properties of specimens that were machined mechanically in a different way before the measuring in order to achieve a different roughness. Workpiece materials and research methods by milling machining are determined to choose the milling tool, main machining parameters, positioning of the workpiece, analytical model to compare the results and to predict the best surface roughness Ra with utilize of Neural Network.

2 Methods and Material

The realization of the experiment procedure is described as follows step by step as seen in Figures 1 and 2. These experiments are included the type of material, equipment for testing the hardness of the material, saw machine, milling machine, the main machining parameters, the equipment for measuring the surface roughness, as well as Matlab software for comparing theoretical and practical results and validation of the experiment. The dimensions of the workpiece are bxhxl (62x16x200) mm, the type of material is C35, it is also determined through the device SADT Hartip 300 as in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Portable hardness tester HARTIP 3000.

Table 1 shows the chemical composition of steel C35.

Elements	Symbols	Percent [%]
1	С	0.32 - 0.39
2	Si	Max 0.4
3	Mn	0.5 - 0.8
4	Ni	Max 0.4
5	Р	Max 0.045
6	S	Max 0.045
7	Cr	Max 0.4
8	Mo	Max 0.1

Table 1: chemical composition of workpiece material C35.

During the experimental approach of milling process emulsion, it is used as coolant regarding in the experiment setup. The setup experiment of surface roughens is presented step by step as follows (see Figure 2).



Figure 2: Setup experiment for surface roughness

The type of milling tool is staggered-tooth side milling cutters HSSECo5, with dimensions 80x5x27 (mm), and 32 cutting teeth. These cutting tools are utilized for slot milling and parting off, or when only the sides of the workpiece are to be machined in straddle setups, which are described in their characteristics in Table 2 [11, 12].

Table 2: Cutting tool characteristics.				
Туре	N			
Milling cutter design standard	DIN 1834			
Cutting tool material	HSSE Co5			
Cutting edge diameter	80 [mm]			
Number of teeth Nz	32			
Bore diameter	27 [mm]			
Width of cutting edge	5 [mm]			

Artificial intelligence such as Neural networks is used for our experiment to determine the surface roughness for the milling process based on the main machining parameters (cutting speed v, feed rate f, and depth of cutting a). The diagram of surface roughness using Artificial Intelligence AI, based on the number of inputs, hidden layers, and till output functions for our case Ra (see Figure 3).



Figure 3: Diagram of surface roughness Ra, using AI.

Matrix plan - for the realization of the experimental part based on the main machining parameters, universal milling machine, metal cutting tool, etc. Table 3 are showed the matrix plan with main machining parameters and experimental plan. Also, are presented the measurement values of surface roughness after the cutting process regarding the experiment, and the measurement value is calculated to compare the practical part of the experiment with the theoretical part.

Inputs	Cutting speed (V=X1)	Feed rate (f=X2)	Depth of cut (a=X3)
1	$X_{1max} = 255$	$X_{2max} = 0.3$	$X_{3max} = 1.5$
2	$X_{1mid} = 160$	$X_{1mid} = 0.2$	$X_{1 mid} = 1.0$
3	$X_{1\min} = 100$	$X_{1min} = 0.13$	$X_{1\min} = 0.5$

Table 3: The level of main machining parameters for realization of experiment.

Determining the surface roughness function and selecting the level of input sizes as well as determining the mathematical model for the surface roughness of the milling process as output function is given by the expressions as

$$Ra = B \cdot v^{\beta_1} \cdot f^{\beta_2} \cdot a^{\beta_3} \tag{1}.$$

The expression can take the form as follow:

$$Ra = B \cdot X_1^{\wedge\beta_1} \cdot X_2^{\wedge\beta_2} \cdot X_3^{\wedge\beta_3}$$
(2).

In Table 4, V is showed the tensile strength for nominal thickness like our experiment with dimension 200x62x16mm, and measurement of hardness with mid-value HB=166, as showed in Table 4, as well as from a theoretical aspect it is read the value of tensile strength Rm as in Table 4, with value 550 Mpa.

Table 4 : the tensile strength RM.						
Nominal thickness To 16 16 - 100 100 - 250 250 - 50 [mm] <						
Rm [Mpa]	550	520	500	470		

Table 1. the tensile store at DM

3 **Results and Discussion**

In the following steps are presented the results for testing material and surface roughness. Using the device HARTIP 3000, it makes nine measurements and after that is automatically calculated the middle value and is compared with Vickers hardness (HV), hardness Brinell (HB) and Rockwell hardness HRB. Measurement of hardness Brinell (HB), by a plate with a nominal thickness of 16 mm, after nine measurements have been achieved the average value of nine measurements HB = 166, based on Figure 1, when determining the type of steel as C35. Hardness measurements for the material selected for the surface roughness analysis, then the nominal D values of the hardness measurements are given below and also compared to HV, HB and HRB as in Table 4.

Test nr.	D	HV	HB	HRB
1	662	169	166	85.6
2	682	191	188	90.7
3	671	179	176	88.0
4	620	127	126	71.6
5	656	162	160	83.9
6	682	191	188	90.7
7	630	136	135	75.5
8	670	181	178	88.5
9	683	192	189	90.9
Mid value	662	169	166	85.6

Table 4: Hardness measurement for nominal value D and HV, HB, HRB.

This work measures surface roughness Ra, Rt and Rq, whereas we have the choice to present and analyze surface roughness Ra. As a device for measurement of surface roughness it utilizes the measuring tool from the company Mitutoyo type SurfTest SJ-301, also some input data are determined into the device as Standard ISO 1197, range AUTO, profile R, N = 5, Cut-off = 2.5, filter Gauss [10].

Scientists and engineers mainly collect the data in order to determine the nature of a relationship between theoretical and practical experiments. The experiment thus generates various data that could be denoted $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i+1})$, based on the machining parameters. The equation can be used for many purposes in the field of manufacturing such as surface roughness, measurement of temperature, cutting force, etc [15].

After some calculation of regression coefficient (B = 1.085; β_1 = 0.096; β_2 = 0.022; β_3 = 0.011) and combination of value for main machining parameters the expression is obtained,

$$Ra = 1.085 \cdot X_1^{0.096} \cdot X_2^{0.022} \cdot X_3^{0.011}$$
(3).

The investigation of the measured profile and R-Profile of surface roughness is presented with values $Ra_{11} = 1.50 \mu m$, $Rz_{11} = 9.0 \mu m$ and $Rq_{11} = 1.86 \mu m$. Completely based on the input data of the Mitutoyo measuring device such as Profile R, cut-off 2.5 mm, filter gauss and range AUTO as seen in the output of measurements in Figure 4.



Figure 4: Measurement of surface roughness with Mitutoyo device.

Table 5 presents an experimental measurement of surface roughness Ra, Rq and Rt.

Nr. Exper.	Spindle speed	Feed rate	Depth of cut	Ra	Rq	Rt
	n [rpm]	f [mm/min]	[mm]	[µm]	[µm]	[µm]
1	100	13	0.5	1.03	1.30	6.41
2	100	13	1	1.26	1.58	7.73
3	100	13	1.5	1.69	2.07	8.13
4	160	13	1.5	1.78	2.28	12.66
5	160	13	1	1.43	1.73	7.77
6	160	13	0.5	1.59	2.03	9.92
7	255	21	0.5	1.41	1.89	11.07
8	255	21	1	1.70	2.06	8.91
9	255	21	1.5	1.84	2.26	10.69
10	160	21	1.5	1.79	2.12	9.93
11	160	21	1	1.50	1.86	9.00
12	160	21	1	1.18	1.51	6.86
13	160	21	1	1.71	2.06	9.98
14	160	21	1	1.42	1.87	10.41
15	100	33	0.5	1.91	2.33	10.33
16	100	33	1	1.42	1.72	7.98
17	160	13	0.5	1.75	2.23	11.53
18	160	33	1	1.69	1.99	8.43
19	255	13	0.5	1.29	1.63	7.97
20	255	21	1.5	1.16	1.37	6.72
21	255	33	1.5	1.20	1.56	8.35

Table 5: Measurement	of surface roug	hness Ra. Ro	and Rt
	or burneed roug	,,,,	

The model is significant when it fulfilled the conditions, and when the surface roughness prediction error is taken with five (5) percent as a value for orientation Ft = 10.01 and calculation of Fr, with value 2.406, as

$$F_r < F_t \tag{4}.$$

After the calculation, the results are achieved as

$$2.406 < 10.01$$
 (5).

Table 6 showed the matrix plan and results of the natural logarithm of measurement surface roughness only for parameter Ra.

Table 5 : Measurement of surface roughness Ra, Rq and Rt.						
Nr. Exper.	X 1	X2	X3	Measurement value	Natural algorithm	Theoretical value
				Ra [µm]	$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{lnRa}$	Ra [µm]
1	-1	-1	-1	1.03	0.03	1.401
2	-1	-1	0	1.26	0.231	1.41
3	-1	-1	1	1.69	0.525	1.419
4	0	-1	1	1.78	0.577	1.484
5	0	-1	0	1.43	0.358	1.475
6	0	-1	-1	1.59	0.464	1.466
7	1	0	-1	1.41	0.344	1.549
8	1	0	0	1.70	0.531	1.558
9	1	0	1	1.84	0.61	1.568
10	1	1	1	1.79	0.582	1.584
11	0	0	0	1.50	0.405	1.49
12	0	0	0	1.18	0.166	1.49
13	0	0	0	1.71	0.536	1.49
14	0	0	0	1.42	0.351	1.49
15	-1	1	-1	1.91	0.647	1.431
16	-1	1	0	1.42	0.351	1.44
17	0	-1	-1	1.75	0.56	1.466
18	0	1	0	1.69	0.525	1.506
19	1	-1	-1	1.29	0.255	1.533
20	1	0	1	1.16	0.148	1.568
21	1	1	1	1.20	0.182	1.584

Table 5: Measurement of surface roughness Ra, Rq and Rt.

Table 6 presents the analysis of relative errors, squares validation, mean squared and absolute errors using the Matlab software, such as the neural network.

Table 6 : Analysis of relative errors.			
Analysis of errors and squares	Values		
Relative mean error (RME)	1.8093		
R-squared validation	-28.24		
Mean squared (MSE)	3.2737		
Mean absolute errors (MAE)	0.47333		

A new method of measurement of surface roughness is presented based on a color distribution statistical matrix, also as an optimal selection of machining parameters for the milling process is described [16, 17].

Figure 5 shows the 21 experiments of surface roughness that are generated in depend on measurement data, where blue points presented the practical measurement and yellow points are a predicted model in a theoretical way.



Figure 5: Comparison of the surface roughness value Ra for theoretical and practical.

Results are explained in Figure 6 for four measurements and their comparison from practical to theoretical way. Figure 6(a) shows the measurement of surface roughness R_{a1} which depended on the measurement of surface roughness in a practical way $R_{a1p} = 1.03 \mu m$, regarding the theoretical value of surface roughness $R_{a1t}=1.401 \mu m$. Also, the diagram of Figure 6 is obtained depending on the main machining parameter as cutting speed (Vc m/min), depth of cut (mm), and feed rate (f mm/min) in this case is constant.

Figure 6(b) presents also surface roughness R_{a2} , in the function of cutting speed and depth of cut, where feed rate is taken as constant.

Figure 6(c) shows the measurement of surface roughness for experiment number Ra11, where the main machining parameter (cutting speed = 40 m/min, feed rate = 0.20 mm/min, and depth of cut = 1mm), are get for this case with a middle value. With these parameters are realized four experiment R_{a11} = 1.50 µm, R_{a12} = 1.18 µm, R_{a13} = 1.71 µm and R_{a14} = 1.42 µm. Whereas, the theoretical value for these experiments will be as follows $R_{a11} = R_{a12} = R_{a13} = R_{a14} = 1.49$ µm.



Figure 6: (a) Measurement of surface roughness $R_{a1} = 1.401 \,\mu\text{m}$ (where cutting speed, feed rate, and depth of cut are taken by minimum level); (b) Surface roughness by experiment $R_{a2} = 1.41 \,\mu\text{m}$ (where cutting speed and feed rate is taken as minimum level afterward depth of cut is taken at middle level); (c) Obtained results of surface roughness $R_{a11} = 1.49 \,\mu\text{m}$, where three levels of main machining parameters are taken as the middle level ; (d) Surface roughness by experiment $R_{a17} = 1.466 \,\mu\text{m}$ (where cutting speed is taken as middle level, afterward feed rate and depth of cut are taken by minimum level).

However, practical values are almost never the same and their comparison with theoretical ones is variable. Figure 6(c) showed the diagram in the function of cutting speed Vc (m/min) and feed rate f (mm/min) as follows.

The measurement of surface roughness Ra₁₇ is presented in the function of cutting speed (V) and feed rate (f) Figure 6(d).

Also the expression for calculation of surface roughness for the case of R_{a1} , R_{a2} , R_{a11} and R_{a17} is shown as follows, regarding Tables 4 and 5.

The calculation of R_{a1} with level code (X₁min, X₂min and X₃min),

$$R_{a1} = 1.085 \cdot X_{1min}^{0.096} \cdot X_{2min}^{0.022} \cdot X_{3min}^{0.011} = 1.401 \ [\mu m] \tag{6}.$$

The calculation of R_{a2} , based on the level code of the table (X₁mid, X₂min and X₃mid)

$$R_{a2} = 1.085 \cdot X_{1min}^{0.096} \cdot X_{2min}^{0.022} \cdot X_{3mid}^{0.011} = 1.41 \ [\mu m] \tag{7}.$$

The calculation of surface roughness with utilize of level code,

$$R_{a11} = 1.085 \cdot X_{1mid}^{0.096} \cdot X_{2mid}^{0.022} \cdot X_{3mid}^{0.011} = 1.49 \, [\mu m]$$
(8).

Utilize of calculation for case of level code based on Table 5 (X1mid, X2min and X3min),

 $R_{a17} = 1.085 \cdot X_{1mid}^{0.096} \cdot X_{2min}^{0.022} \cdot X_{3min}^{0.011} = 1.466 \, [\mu m] \tag{9}.$

Mathematical modeling and multi-response optimization is used for improving machinability with using of artificial intelligence [18].

Figure 6 shows the 3D diagrams regarding the measurement and calculations based on the Equations above.

4 Conclusion

The paper mainly is focused on analyzing surface roughness also and another problem is measurements of the hardness of steel C35. Measurement of surface roughness with device Mitutoyo for the case of a milling operation is presented. This work performs twenty one measurements depending on the main machining parameters. Where are taken maximal, middle and minimal values for cutting speed, feed rate, and depth of cutting, also are combined and for experiments Ra₁₁,..., Ra₁₄ are taking the middle value for three machining of parameters. Measurement of hardness steel for material C45 is obtained through device Hard tip Tester 3000, with value HB = 166. The measurement of value for nominal hardness is compared from practical to the theoretical way such as Hardness Brinell (HB), Hardness Vickers (HV) and HRB.

The best value of surface roughness is for case one $Ra_1 = 1.03 \mu m$. Afterward are presented diagrams for four experiments Ra_1 , Ra_2 , Ra_{11} , Ra_{15} and Ra_{17} , which are depending on the main machining parameters.

5 Availability of Data And Material

Data can be made available by contacting the corresponding author.

6 References

- [1] Hanief M, Wani MF. Effect of surface roughness on wear rate during running-in of En31-steel: Model and experimental validation. Materials Letters. 2016;176:91-3. DOI: 10.1016/j.matlet.2016.04.087
- [2] Pfeifer T, Kurokawa S, Meyer S. Derivation of parameters of global form deviations for 3-dimensional surfaces in actual manufacturing processes. Measurement. 2001;29(3):179-200. DOI: 10.1016/S0263-2241(00)00038-5
- [3] Altintaş Y, Budak E. Analytical prediction of stability lobes in milling. CIRP annals. 1995;44(1):357-62. DOI: 10.1016/S0007-8506(07)62342-7
- [4] Simunovic G, Simunovic K, Saric T. Modelling and simulation of surface roughness in face milling. International Journal of Simulation Modelling. 2013;12(3):141-53. DOI:10.2507/IJSIMM12(3)1.219
- [5] Sekulic M, Pejic V, Brezocnik M, Gostimirović M, Hadzistevic M. Prediction of surface roughness in the ballend milling process using response surface methodology, genetic algorithms, and grey wolf optimizer algorithm. Advances in Production Engineering & Management. 2018;13(1):18-30. DOI: 10.14743/apem2018.1.270
- [6] Stephenson DA, Agapiou JS. Metal cutting theory and practice. CRC Press; 2018. DOI: 10.1201/9781315373119
- [7] Flores V, Keith B. Gradient boosted trees predictive models for surface roughness in high-speed milling in the steel and aluminum metalworking industry. Complexity. 2019. DOI:10.1155/2019/1536716

- [8] Bustillo A, Correa M. Using artificial intelligence to predict surface roughness in deep drilling of steel components. Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing. 2012;23(5):1893-902. DOI: 10.1007/s10845-011-0506-8
- [9] Noghani, M, Bayat, O, Moghadam, O, Mehrizi, V. Effect of Various Microstructures Obtained from Heat Treatment on Machinability Behavior of Ti-6Al-4V Alloy. International Journal of Engineering. 2022;35(1):184-190. DOI: 10.5829/ije.2022.35.01A.11
- [10] Mitutoyo. Europen Metrology Solutions from Mitutoyo. 2022. https://mitutoyo.eu/en_us (accessed January 2022).
- [11] Kibbe, R, Meyer, R, Stenerson, J, Curran, K. Machine tool practices. 11th Ed.; Pearson, Boston, 2020:497-558.
- [12] Orion. Narrow disc milling cutter HSSE Co 5: Type N. 2017. https://media.witglobal.net/stmedia/hahnkolb/documents/LANG_en/HK-14103365.pdf
- [13] Matras A. Research and Optimization of Surface Roughness in Milling of SLM Semi-Finished Parts Manufactured by Using the Different Laser Scanning Speed. Materials. 2019;13(1):9. DOI: 10.3390/ma13010009
- [14] Wang Y, Wang Y, Zheng L, Zhou J. Online Surface Roughness Prediction for Assembly Interfaces of Vertical Tail Integrating Tool Wear under Variable Cutting Parameters. Sensors. 2022; 22(5):1991. DOI: 10.3390/s22051991
- [15] Navidi WC. Statistics for Engineers and sciences. 3rd Ed., McGraw-Hill, 2014:592-658.
- [16] Liu J, Lu E, Yi H, Wang M, Ao P. A new surface roughness measurement method based on a color distribution statistical matrix. Measurement. 2017;103:165-78. DOI: 10.1016/j.measurement.2017.02.036.
- [17] Narooei, KD, Ramli, R. Optimal Selection of Cutting Parameters for Surface Roughness in Milling Machining of AA6061-T6. International Journal of Engineering. 2022;35(6):1170-1177. DOI: 10.5829/ije.2022.35.06c.08



Dr. Afrim Gjelaj is an Assistant Professor at the Department of Manufacturing and Automation. He got his Doctoral degree (Dr.Sc) in the field of Manufacturing and Automation frm the University of Maribor, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Maribor, Slovenia. His researches are Machining Processes, Modern Manufacturing, Reverse Engineering, CAD/CAM, CNC and additive Technology.



Dr. Wojciech Sitek, is an Associate Professor at the Department of Fundamentals of Machinery Design, at Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Silesian University of Technology, Gliwice, Poland. His researches are Mechanical Materials, Artificial Intelligence, Composite Materials, Manufacturing Processes, and Modelling.



MSc. Besart Berisha (Ph.D. student) is a Teaching Assistant at the Department of Manufacturing and Automation, University of Prishtina, Kosova. He got his Bachelor's and Master's degrees from the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Prishtina, Kosova. His research focuses on the Manufacturing and Design of Products, Hydraulics and Pneumatics.